



Pasta, Gourmet Pizzas, Seafood, Burgers, Great Salads, and so much more!



Bubba's Bar and Grille
 976 Route 103
 Newbury, NH 03255
 (603) 763-3290
 www.bubbasbarandgrille.com

Join us for dining on our deck overlooking the lake

Proven Tree Care... *Servicing Homeowners Contractors & Municipalities*

- Large-Difficult-Easy Tree Removal
- Pruning and View Improvements
- Stump Grinding
- Fertilization
- Land Clearing
- Brush Clearing
- Fields Reclaimed
- 170' High Crane Service
- Bucket Truck

Well equipped • Owner Operated • 30 Years Experience

Guillemette Tree Service



Ken Guillemette
 Biologist-Umass
 398-0221
 Andover Based



FALL CONCERT

featuring

REQUIEM IN D MINOR

**BY GABRIEL FAURÉ
 AND OTHER WORKS**

**NOVEMBER 24, 2019
 3:00 PM**

**WHEELER HALL AT
 COLBY-SAWYER COLLEGE
 NEW LONDON, NH**



**SILENT AUCTION
 STARTING AT 2:15 PM**

Tickets available at Tatewell Gallery and Morgan Hill Bookstore in New London, MainStreet BookEnds in Warner, www.kearsargechorale.org and at the door. (\$15 each—\$5.00 for students)

Minutes from page 15

ley responded that there will probably be a net reduction in the truck traffic for part of the time, as right now material is currently being brought and dumped onto Champagne's property, then he loads it onto his trucks and then hauls it out. So if he is manufacturing it on site, it would cut out 50% of the traffic. As far as additional sales, that is market-driven. Currently there is a high of 24 loads per day going out.

Jill Colardeau asked where the truck loads are currently coming and going from and the response was Route 4. Colardeau stated that the trucks are currently going down Bay Road. Urie responded that everything will be onto Route 4 with this proposal.

Eastman asked what direction his current trucks travel in. Champagne responded: most commonly, towards Wilmot and New London. Phelps asked if he expected the traffic on Bay Road to increase or decrease, and Champagne responded decrease, as his primary inlet and outlet will be onto Route 4.

Greenblatt, representing Lois Levick, an abutter, stated that Lois Levick and Jon Champagne came to a private agreement at the Zoning Board level to have certain screening done prior to any excavation being undertaken and wanted to be sure that it was part of any site plan should the proposal get approved. Seufert stated that the trees have already been sourced and are ready to go once the permits are issued.

Greenblatt also stated that the board is aware that there may be some dispute about the impact levels of all this activity; however, there is no dispute that there will be some level of impact.

There will be sound, dust, and traffic. The board's obligation is to try to minimize those known impacts that everyone knows will come with the common sense of what the operation is; and to ensure that those minimization efforts are effective through ongoing monitoring to make sure water is preserved, the sound remains at proper decibel levels, the dust remains at an appropriate level, and traffic is not increased beyond what is being portrayed by the applicant.

Jill Colardeau asked if the surrounding property owners' water will be tested and monitored, and Teach responded that she does not have an answer for that. Bentley stated that they are undecided on the well monitoring. Champagne stated that a drilled well can be monitored; however, a dug well cannot, as the State does not see a dug well as suitable for drinking water.

Phelps asked what will happen if there is a problem with a dug well. Champagne responded that it would depend on what the test is and what the result is and what the contamination is would determine who is at fault. It is Aries Engineering's responsibility that this business is being conducted legally so there is no problems, safety issues, or groundwater contamination.

Grenier of the Salisbury Planning

Board stated that the letter submitted on September 6, 2019 referenced a lot of the regional impact guidelines. He asked if the Andover Planning Board would entertain comments from the Salisbury Planning Board at the Site Plan Review level, or is their input basically capped to what is in the letter in terms of regional impact?

There are things on the plans that should be addressed, and if not addressed at this hearing, maybe a work session, as the plans he has seen are nowhere near the level of detail that he would expect for this project and particularly for a 155 Excavation Permit Application. He is unsure where the Salisbury Planning Board fits into this process as he feels there are a lot of T's that need to be crossed and I's that need to be dotted to make this a better project. Does the Andover Planning Board want abutting towns to participate in this process?

Seufert responded that this is home-rule and basically each town deals with those projects in town, so the Regional Planning Commission Statute RSA:35B was instituted so that if towns neighboring had issues, they would go through the Commission and then the Commission filters those concerns back down to the home town.

Andover is part of the Lakes Region Planning Commission and Salisbury is part of the Central NH Planning Commission, and if Salisbury has any input in this process and it had to be at the Planning Commission level, they would have to go through the Central NH Planning Commission.

Grenier stated he has some questions and concerns with what is on the plans that should be addressed.

Schmidl stated that there are also specific RSA's that deal with developments of regional impact that go beyond this home rule issue and do incorporate abutting towns for comment and inclusion.

Serge stated that in his opinion, Salisbury is an abutter for the proceedings; they have a right to be there and present their concerns.

Teach asked if this would be an opportunity for the Central NH Planning Commission to work with the Lakes Region Planning Commission and Serge responded no because the pursuant statute the Town of Salisbury and the Lakes Region Planning Commission are the ones that had to be notified of the hearing and they both responded in writing and Salisbury is present and the Commission is not.

Grenier stated the comments he has on the plans would require him to go through the plans and is unsure if the hearing would be the venue to do that or if there should be a work session. Grenier stated that on the plans he has, it shows the author as Web Stout and does not mention Aries Engineering and that is why he asked if there are other drawing as part of the application that they are not aware of. He is considering a work session with the Andover Plan-

See Minutes on page 20